

# Preserver problems in quantum information science

Chi-Kwong Li  
Department of Mathematics  
The College of William and Mary

# Preserver problems in quantum information science

Chi-Kwong Li  
Department of Mathematics  
The College of William and Mary

Based on recent work with:

# Preserver problems in quantum information science

Chi-Kwong Li  
Department of Mathematics  
The College of William and Mary

Based on recent work with:  
Shmuel Friedland (University of Illinois - Chicago),

# Preserver problems in quantum information science

Chi-Kwong Li  
Department of Mathematics  
The College of William and Mary

Based on recent work with:  
Shmuel Friedland (University of Illinois - Chicago),  
Yiu-Tung Poon (Iowa State University),

# Preserver problems in quantum information science

Chi-Kwong Li

Department of Mathematics  
The College of William and Mary

Based on recent work with:

Shmuel Friedland (University of Illinois - Chicago),  
Yiu-Tung Poon (Iowa State University),  
Nung-Sing Sze (Hong Kong Polytechnic University),

# Dedication

It is my great pleasure to join the colleagues from 14 regions:

# Dedication

It is my great pleasure to join the colleagues from 14 regions:

Australia, Brazil, Canada, Estonia, Germany, Israel, Korea,  
Malaysia, Poland, Slovenia, South Africa, USA, Taiwan, Thailand,

# Dedication

It is my great pleasure to join the colleagues from 14 regions:

Australia, Brazil, Canada, Estonia, Germany, Israel, Korea,  
Malaysia, Poland, Slovenia, South Africa, USA, Taiwan, Thailand,

in Africa, Asia, Australia, Europe, (North and South) America



# Dedication

It is my great pleasure to join the colleagues from 14 regions:

Australia, Brazil, Canada, Estonia, Germany, Israel, Korea,  
Malaysia, Poland, Slovenia, South Africa, USA, Taiwan, Thailand,

in Africa, Asia, Australia, Europe, (North and South) America

**to honor Professor Pjek-Hwee Lee on the occasion of his retirement.**

In fact, I am also affiliated with the following institutions:

It is my great pleasure to join the colleagues from 14 regions:

Australia, Brazil, Canada, Estonia, Germany, Israel, Korea,  
Malaysia, Poland, Slovenia, South Africa, USA, Taiwan, Thailand,

in Africa, Asia, Australia, Europe, (North and South) America

**to honor Professor Pjek-Hwee Lee on the occasion of his retirement.**

In fact, I am also affiliated with the following institutions:

Hong Kong University of Science & Technology (2011 Fulbright Fellow)

It is my great pleasure to join the colleagues from 14 regions:

Australia, Brazil, Canada, Estonia, Germany, Israel, Korea,  
Malaysia, Poland, Slovenia, South Africa, USA, Taiwan, Thailand,

in Africa, Asia, Australia, Europe, (North and South) America

**to honor Professor Pjek-Hwee Lee on the occasion of his retirement.**

In fact, I am also affiliated with the following institutions:

Hong Kong University of Science & Technology (2011 Fulbright Fellow)  
Taiyuan University of Technology (Shanxi 100 Talent Program)

It is my great pleasure to join the colleagues from 14 regions:

Australia, Brazil, Canada, Estonia, Germany, Israel, Korea,  
Malaysia, Poland, Slovenia, South Africa, USA, Taiwan, Thailand,

in Africa, Asia, Australia, Europe, (North and South) America

**to honor Professor Pjek-Hwee Lee on the occasion of his retirement.**

In fact, I am also affiliated with the following institutions:

Hong Kong University of Science & Technology (2011 Fulbright Fellow)

Taiyuan University of Technology (Shanxi 100 Talent Program)

Shanghai University, University of Hong Kong (Honorary Professor).

# Preserver problems

# Preserver problems

**Preserver problems** concern the characterization of maps on matrices, operators, or other algebraic objects with special properties.

# Preserver problems

**Preserver problems** concern the characterization of maps on matrices, operators, or other algebraic objects with special properties.

- (Frobenius, 1897) A **linear** map  $T : M_n \rightarrow M_n$  satisfies

$$\det(L(A)) = \det(A) \quad \text{for all } A \in M_n$$

if and only if

# Preserver problems

**Preserver problems** concern the characterization of maps on matrices, operators, or other algebraic objects with special properties.

- (Frobenius, 1897) A **linear** map  $T : M_n \rightarrow M_n$  satisfies

$$\det(L(A)) = \det(A) \quad \text{for all } A \in M_n$$

if and only if there are matrices  $M, N \in M_n$  with  $\det(MN) = 1$  such that  $L$  has the form

$$A \mapsto MAN \quad \text{or} \quad A \mapsto MA^tN.$$



**Preserver problems** concern the characterization of maps on matrices, operators, or other algebraic objects with special properties.

- (Frobenius,1897) A **linear** map  $T : M_n \rightarrow M_n$  satisfies

$$\det(L(A)) = \det(A) \quad \text{for all } A \in M_n$$

if and only if there are matrices  $M, N \in M_n$  with  $\det(MN) = 1$  such that  $L$  has the form

$$A \mapsto MAN \quad \text{or} \quad A \mapsto MA^tN.$$

- (Dieudonné,1949) Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be the set of singular matrices. An **invertible linear** map  $L : M_n \rightarrow M_n$  satisfies  $T(\mathcal{S}) \subseteq \mathcal{S}$ . if and only if there are  $M, N \in GL_n$  such that  $L$  has the form

$$A \mapsto MAN \quad \text{or} \quad A \mapsto MA^tN.$$

- There were study and results on matrix pairs preserving

- There were study and results on matrix pairs preserving adjacency, i.e.,  $\text{rank}(A - B) = 1$ ,

- There were study and results on matrix pairs preserving adjacency, i.e.,  $\text{rank}(A - B) = 1$ , partial orders, i.e.,  $A \leq B$ ,

- There were study and results on matrix pairs preserving adjacency, i.e.,  $\text{rank}(A - B) = 1$ , partial orders, i.e.,  $A \leq B$ , disjointness / having zero product,

- There were study and results on matrix pairs preserving adjacency, i.e.,  $\text{rank}(A - B) = 1$ , partial orders, i.e.,  $A \leq B$ , disjointness / having zero product, say,  $AB = 0$ ,  $AB^* = 0$ ,  $[A, B] = AB - BA = 0$ ,  $\{A, B\} = AB + BA = 0$ , etc.

- There were study and results on matrix pairs preserving adjacency, i.e.,  $\text{rank}(A - B) = 1$ , partial orders, i.e.,  $A \leq B$ , disjointness / having zero product, say,  $AB = 0$ ,  $AB^* = 0$ ,  $[A, B] = AB - BA = 0$ ,  $\{A, B\} = AB + BA = 0$ , etc.
- They were also study connected to (Lie) derivations, isometry, effect algebra, Jordan homomorphism,  $C^*$ -homomorphisms, etc.

- There were study and results on matrix pairs preserving **adjacency**, i.e.,  $\text{rank}(A - B) = 1$ , **partial orders**, i.e.,  $A \leq B$ , **disjointness / having zero product**, say,  $AB = 0$ ,  $AB^* = 0$ ,  $[A, B] = AB - BA = 0$ ,  $\{A, B\} = AB + BA = 0$ , etc.
- They were also study connected to (Lie) derivations, isometry, effect algebra, Jordan homomorphism,  $C^*$ -homomorphisms, etc.
- Professor **Pjek-Hwee Lee** and many other colleagues in the audience have nice results on this subject.



# Quantum information science

- Quantum information science is about the use **quantum mechanical properties** to help store, transmit, and process information (encoded in quantum bits (qubits) instead of binary bits).

# Quantum information science

- Quantum information science is about the use **quantum mechanical properties** to help store, transmit, and process information (encoded in quantum bits (qubits) instead of binary bits).
- Mathematically, **quantum states** (with  $m$  physical states) are represented by **density matrices**  $A \in M_m$ , i.e., positive semidefinite matrices (operators) with trace one.

- Quantum information science is about the use **quantum mechanical properties** to help store, transmit, and process information (encoded in quantum bits (qubits) instead of binary bits).
- Mathematically, **quantum states** (with  $m$  physical states) are represented by **density matrices**  $A \in M_m$ , i.e., positive semidefinite matrices (operators) with trace one.
- **Quantum evolution** of a **closed system** is governed by unitary similarity transforms:

$$A(t) = U_t A(0) U_t^*.$$

- Quantum information science is about the use **quantum mechanical properties** to help store, transmit, and process information (encoded in quantum bits (qubits) instead of binary bits).
- Mathematically, **quantum states** (with  $m$  physical states) are represented by **density matrices**  $A \in M_m$ , i.e., positive semidefinite matrices (operators) with trace one.
- **Quantum evolution** of a **closed system** is governed by unitary similarity transforms:

$$A(t) = U_t A(0) U_t^*.$$

- Suppose  $A \in M_m$  and  $B \in M_n$  are quantum states.

- Quantum information science is about the use **quantum mechanical properties** to help store, transmit, and process information (encoded in quantum bits (qubits) instead of binary bits).
- Mathematically, **quantum states** (with  $m$  physical states) are represented by **density matrices**  $A \in M_m$ , i.e., positive semidefinite matrices (operators) with trace one.
- **Quantum evolution** of a **closed system** is governed by unitary similarity transforms:

$$A(t) = U_t A(0) U_t^*.$$

- Suppose  $A \in M_m$  and  $B \in M_n$  are quantum states. Their **joint quantum state** is  $C = A \otimes B = (a_{ij} B)$  in the (composite) **bipartite system**,

- Quantum information science is about the use **quantum mechanical properties** to help store, transmit, and process information (encoded in quantum bits (qubits) instead of binary bits).
- Mathematically, **quantum states** (with  $m$  physical states) are represented by **density matrices**  $A \in M_m$ , i.e., positive semidefinite matrices (operators) with trace one.
- **Quantum evolution** of a **closed system** is governed by unitary similarity transforms:

$$A(t) = U_t A(0) U_t^*.$$

- Suppose  $A \in M_m$  and  $B \in M_n$  are quantum states. Their **joint quantum state** is  $C = A \otimes B = (a_{ij} B)$  in the (composite) **bipartite system**, where the general states are represented by  $mn \times mn$  density matrices resulting from **mixing** or **decoherence**.

- Quantum information science is about the use **quantum mechanical properties** to help store, transmit, and process information (encoded in quantum bits (qubits) instead of binary bits).
- Mathematically, **quantum states** (with  $m$  physical states) are represented by **density matrices**  $A \in M_m$ , i.e., positive semidefinite matrices (operators) with trace one.
- **Quantum evolution** of a **closed system** is governed by unitary similarity transforms:

$$A(t) = U_t A(0) U_t^*.$$

- Suppose  $A \in M_m$  and  $B \in M_n$  are quantum states. Their **joint quantum state** is  $C = A \otimes B = (a_{ij} B)$  in the (composite) **bipartite system**, where the general states are represented by  $mn \times mn$  density matrices resulting from **mixing** or **decoherence**.
- **So, in the study of quantum information science, one often deals with tensor products of matrices.**

# Separable States

## Notation

- $M_n$ : the algebra of  $n \times n$  complex matrices.



# Separable States

## Notation

- $M_n$ : the algebra of  $n \times n$  complex matrices.
- $X \otimes Y = (x_{ij}Y)$ : the **tensor product** of the matrices  $X = (x_{ij})$  and  $Y$ .

# Separable States

## Notation

- $M_n$ : the algebra of  $n \times n$  complex matrices.
- $X \otimes Y = (x_{ij}Y)$ : the **tensor product** of the matrices  $X = (x_{ij})$  and  $Y$ .
- $H_n$ : the real linear space of  $n \times n$  Hermitian matrices.

# Separable States

## Notation

- $M_n$ : the algebra of  $n \times n$  complex matrices.
- $X \otimes Y = (x_{ij}Y)$ : the **tensor product** of the matrices  $X = (x_{ij})$  and  $Y$ .
- $H_n$ : the real linear space of  $n \times n$  Hermitian matrices.
- $D_n$ : the set of  $n \times n$  **density matrices**, i.e., positive semidefinite matrices with trace one.

## Notation

- $M_n$ : the algebra of  $n \times n$  complex matrices.
- $X \otimes Y = (x_{ij}Y)$ : the **tensor product** of the matrices  $X = (x_{ij})$  and  $Y$ .
- $H_n$ : the real linear space of  $n \times n$  Hermitian matrices.
- $D_n$ : the set of  $n \times n$  **density matrices**, i.e., positive semidefinite matrices with trace one.
- $P_m$ : set of rank one matrices in  $D_m$ , the set of **pure states**.

# Separable States

## Notation

- $M_n$ : the algebra of  $n \times n$  complex matrices.
- $X \otimes Y = (x_{ij}Y)$ : the **tensor product** of the matrices  $X = (x_{ij})$  and  $Y$ .
- $H_n$ : the real linear space of  $n \times n$  Hermitian matrices.
- $D_n$ : the set of  $n \times n$  **density matrices**, i.e., positive semidefinite matrices with trace one.
- $P_m$ : set of rank one matrices in  $D_m$ , the set of **pure states**.
- $\mathcal{S}_{m,n} = \text{conv} \{P_m \otimes P_n\}$  is a subset of  $D_{mn}$  known as the set of **separable states**.

# Separable States

## Notation

- $M_n$ : the algebra of  $n \times n$  complex matrices.
- $X \otimes Y = (x_{ij}Y)$ : the **tensor product** of the matrices  $X = (x_{ij})$  and  $Y$ .
- $H_n$ : the real linear space of  $n \times n$  Hermitian matrices.
- $D_n$ : the set of  $n \times n$  **density matrices**, i.e., positive semidefinite matrices with trace one.
- $P_m$ : set of rank one matrices in  $D_m$ , the set of **pure states**.
- $\mathcal{S}_{m,n} = \text{conv} \{P_m \otimes P_n\}$  is a subset of  $D_{mn}$  known as the set of **separable states**.
- A quantum state  $\rho \in D_{mn}$  is **entangled** if  $\rho \in D_{mn} \setminus \mathcal{S}_{m,n}$ .

# Separable States

## Notation

- $M_n$ : the algebra of  $n \times n$  complex matrices.
- $X \otimes Y = (x_{ij}Y)$ : the **tensor product** of the matrices  $X = (x_{ij})$  and  $Y$ .
- $H_n$ : the real linear space of  $n \times n$  Hermitian matrices.
- $D_n$ : the set of  $n \times n$  **density matrices**, i.e., positive semidefinite matrices with trace one.
- $P_m$ : set of rank one matrices in  $D_m$ , the set of **pure states**.
- $S_{m,n} = \text{conv} \{P_m \otimes P_n\}$  is a subset of  $D_{mn}$  known as the set of **separable states**.
- A quantum state  $\rho \in D_{mn}$  is **entangled** if  $\rho \in D_{mn} \setminus S_{m,n}$ .
- **To determine whether  $\rho \in D_{mn}$  is separable is an NP hard problem.**

# Problems of interest



# Problems of interest

## Our question

What are the maps which will preserve the set of separable states?

# Problems of interest

## Our question

What are the maps which will preserve the set of separable states?  
Can we transform the states so that it is easier to check separability?

## Our question

What are the maps which will preserve the set of separable states?  
Can we transform the states so that it is easier to check separability?

- We can apply changes of bases for each of the systems:

$$A \otimes B \mapsto U^* A U \otimes B, \quad A \otimes B \mapsto A \otimes V^* B V, \quad U, V \text{ unitary.}$$

## Our question

What are the maps which will preserve the set of separable states?  
Can we transform the states so that it is easier to check separability?

- We can apply changes of bases for each of the systems:

$$A \otimes B \mapsto U^* A U \otimes B, \quad A \otimes B \mapsto A \otimes V^* B V, \quad U, V \text{ unitary.}$$

- If  $m = n$ , one can swap the two component states:  $A \otimes B \mapsto B \otimes A$ .

## Our question

What are the maps which will preserve the set of separable states?  
Can we transform the states so that it is easier to check separability?

- We can apply changes of bases for each of the systems:

$$A \otimes B \mapsto U^* A U \otimes B, \quad A \otimes B \mapsto A \otimes V^* B V, \quad U, V \text{ unitary.}$$

- If  $m = n$ , one can swap the two component states:  $A \otimes B \mapsto B \otimes A$ .
- The **partial transpose maps** on  $H_{mn}$  defined by

## Our question

What are the maps which will preserve the set of separable states?  
Can we transform the states so that it is easier to check separability?

- We can apply changes of bases for each of the systems:

$$A \otimes B \mapsto U^* A U \otimes B, \quad A \otimes B \mapsto A \otimes V^* B V, \quad U, V \text{ unitary.}$$

- If  $m = n$ , one can swap the two component states:  $A \otimes B \mapsto B \otimes A$ .
- The **partial transpose maps** on  $H_{mn}$  defined by

$$PT_1(A \otimes B) = A^t \otimes B \quad \text{and} \quad PT_2(A \otimes B) = A \otimes B^t$$

preserve the set of separable states, i.e.,  $PT_j(S_{m,n}) = S_{m,n}$  for  $j = 1, 2$ .

## Our question

What are the maps which will preserve the set of separable states?  
Can we transform the states so that it is easier to check separability?

- We can apply changes of bases for each of the systems:

$$A \otimes B \mapsto U^* A U \otimes B, \quad A \otimes B \mapsto A \otimes V^* B V, \quad U, V \text{ unitary.}$$

- If  $m = n$ , one can swap the two component states:  $A \otimes B \mapsto B \otimes A$ .
- The **partial transpose maps** on  $H_{mn}$  defined by

$$PT_1(A \otimes B) = A^t \otimes B \quad \text{and} \quad PT_2(A \otimes B) = A \otimes B^t$$

preserve the set of separable states, i.e.,  $PT_j(S_{m,n}) = S_{m,n}$  for  $j = 1, 2$ .

- In fact, if  $C \in S_{m,n}$  then  $PT_j(C) \in D_{mn}$  for  $j = 1, 2$ . The converse is true if  $(m, n) \in \{(2, 2), (2, 3), (3, 2)\}$ .

## Our question

What are the maps which will preserve the set of separable states?  
Can we transform the states so that it is easier to check separability?

- We can apply changes of bases for each of the systems:

$$A \otimes B \mapsto U^* A U \otimes B, \quad A \otimes B \mapsto A \otimes V^* B V, \quad U, V \text{ unitary.}$$

- If  $m = n$ , one can swap the two component states:  $A \otimes B \mapsto B \otimes A$ .
- The **partial transpose maps** on  $H_{mn}$  defined by

$$PT_1(A \otimes B) = A^t \otimes B \quad \text{and} \quad PT_2(A \otimes B) = A \otimes B^t$$

preserve the set of separable states, i.e.,  $PT_j(S_{m,n}) = S_{m,n}$  for  $j = 1, 2$ .

- In fact, if  $C \in S_{m,n}$  then  $PT_j(C) \in D_{mn}$  for  $j = 1, 2$ . The converse is true if  $(m, n) \in \{(2, 2), (2, 3), (3, 2)\}$ . Else, there are examples of entangled states with positive partial transpose.



# Result for bipartite systems

# Result for bipartite systems

Theorem [FLPS, 2010], [Fifsen & Shultz, 2010]

Let  $\Psi : H_{mn} \rightarrow H_{mn}$  be a linear map. The following are equivalent.

# Result for bipartite systems

Theorem [FLPS, 2010], [Fifsen & Shultz, 2010]

Let  $\Psi : H_{mn} \rightarrow H_{mn}$  be a linear map. The following are equivalent.

(a)  $\Psi(P_m \otimes P_n) = P_m \otimes P_n.$

# Result for bipartite systems

Theorem [FLPS, 2010], [Fifsen & Shultz, 2010]

Let  $\Psi : H_{mn} \rightarrow H_{mn}$  be a linear map. The following are equivalent.

- (a)  $\Psi(P_m \otimes P_n) = P_m \otimes P_n$ .
- (b)  $\Psi(\mathcal{S}_{m,n}) = \mathcal{S}_{m,n}$ .

# Result for bipartite systems

Theorem [FLPS, 2010], [Fifsen & Shultz, 2010]

Let  $\Psi : H_{mn} \rightarrow H_{mn}$  be a linear map. The following are equivalent.

- (a)  $\Psi(P_m \otimes P_n) = P_m \otimes P_n$ .
- (b)  $\Psi(\mathcal{S}_{m,n}) = \mathcal{S}_{m,n}$ .
- (c) There are unitary  $U \in M_m$  and  $V \in M_n$  such that

# Result for bipartite systems

Theorem [FLPS, 2010], [Fifsen & Shultz, 2010]

Let  $\Psi : H_{mn} \rightarrow H_{mn}$  be a linear map. The following are equivalent.

(a)  $\Psi(P_m \otimes P_n) = P_m \otimes P_n.$

(b)  $\Psi(\mathcal{S}_{m,n}) = \mathcal{S}_{m,n}.$

(c) There are unitary  $U \in M_m$  and  $V \in M_n$  such that

(c.1)  $\Psi(A \otimes B) = \psi_1(A) \otimes \psi_2(B)$  for  $A \otimes B \in H_{mn}$ , or

# Result for bipartite systems

Theorem [FLPS, 2010], [Filsen & Shultz, 2010]

Let  $\Psi : H_{mn} \rightarrow H_{mn}$  be a linear map. The following are equivalent.

(a)  $\Psi(P_m \otimes P_n) = P_m \otimes P_n$ .

(b)  $\Psi(\mathcal{S}_{m,n}) = \mathcal{S}_{m,n}$ .

(c) There are unitary  $U \in M_m$  and  $V \in M_n$  such that

(c.1)  $\Psi(A \otimes B) = \psi_1(A) \otimes \psi_2(B)$  for  $A \otimes B \in H_{mn}$ , or

(c.2)  $m = n$  and  $\Psi(A \otimes B) = \psi_2(B) \otimes \psi_1(A)$  for  $A \otimes B \in H_{mn}$ ,

# Result for bipartite systems

Theorem [FLPS, 2010], [Filsen & Shultz, 2010]

Let  $\Psi : H_{mn} \rightarrow H_{mn}$  be a linear map. The following are equivalent.

(a)  $\Psi(P_m \otimes P_n) = P_m \otimes P_n.$

(b)  $\Psi(\mathcal{S}_{m,n}) = \mathcal{S}_{m,n}.$

(c) There are unitary  $U \in M_m$  and  $V \in M_n$  such that

(c.1)  $\Psi(A \otimes B) = \psi_1(A) \otimes \psi_2(B)$  for  $A \otimes B \in H_{mn}$ , or

(c.2)  $m = n$  and  $\Psi(A \otimes B) = \psi_2(B) \otimes \psi_1(A)$  for  $A \otimes B \in H_{mn}$ ,

where  $\psi_1$  has the form  $A \mapsto UAU^*$  or  $A \mapsto UA^tU^*$ ,

and  $\psi_2$  has the form  $B \mapsto VBV^*$  or  $B \mapsto VB^tV^*$ .





- Any scheme for detecting separable states must be invariant under the maps described in the theorem.

- Any scheme for detecting separable states must be invariant under the maps described in the theorem.
- If there is a necessary condition for separability, then one can apply the condition to  $\phi(X)$  for all  $\phi$  described in the theorem.

- Any scheme for detecting separable states must be invariant under the maps described in the theorem.
- If there is a necessary condition for separability, then one can apply the condition to  $\phi(X)$  for all  $\phi$  described in the theorem.
- Let  $\Phi : D_{mn} \rightarrow D_{mn}$  be an affine map such that  $\Phi(\mathcal{S}_{m,n}) = \mathcal{S}_{m,n}$ . Then  $\Phi$  can be extended uniquely to an invertible linear map  $\Psi : H_{mn} \rightarrow H_{mn}$ .

- Any scheme for detecting separable states must be invariant under the maps described in the theorem.
- If there is a necessary condition for separability, then one can apply the condition to  $\phi(X)$  for all  $\phi$  described in the theorem.
- Let  $\Phi : D_{mn} \rightarrow D_{mn}$  be an affine map such that  $\Phi(\mathcal{S}_{m,n}) = \mathcal{S}_{m,n}$ . Then  $\Phi$  can be extended uniquely to an invertible linear map  $\Psi : H_{mn} \rightarrow H_{mn}$ .
- The proof of [FS] (similar to an earlier proof of ours) depends heavily on the convex feature of  $\mathcal{S}_{m,n}$  and is not easy to be extended to the multi-partite case.

# An auxiliary result

## Proposition [FLPS, 2010]

Suppose  $\psi : H_m \rightarrow H_n$  is linear and satisfies  $\psi(P_m) \subseteq P_n$ . Then one of the following holds:

## Proposition [FLPS, 2010]

Suppose  $\psi : H_m \rightarrow H_n$  is linear and satisfies  $\psi(P_m) \subseteq P_n$ . Then one of the following holds:

- there is  $R \in P_n$  such that  $\psi$  has the form  $A \mapsto (\text{tr } A)R$ .

## Proposition [FLPS, 2010]

Suppose  $\psi : H_m \rightarrow H_n$  is linear and satisfies  $\psi(P_m) \subseteq P_n$ . Then one of the following holds:

- there is  $R \in P_n$  such that  $\psi$  has the form  $A \mapsto (\text{tr } A)R$ .
- $m \leq n$  and there is a  $U \in M_{m \times n}$  with  $UU^* = I_m$  such that  $\psi$  has the form

$$A \mapsto U^*AU \quad \text{or} \quad A \mapsto U^*A^tU.$$



# Extension to multi-partite systems

Theorem [FLPS,2010]

Suppose  $n_1 \geq \cdots \geq n_k \geq 2$  are positive integers with  $k > 1$  and  $N = \prod_{i=1}^k n_i$ .

# Extension to multi-partite systems

## Theorem [FLPS,2010]

Suppose  $n_1 \geq \dots \geq n_k \geq 2$  are positive integers with  $k > 1$  and  $N = \prod_{i=1}^k n_i$ . The following are equivalent for a linear map  $\Psi : H_N \rightarrow H_N$ .

(a)  $\Psi \left( \otimes_{i=1}^k P_{n_i} \right) = \otimes_{i=1}^k P_{n_i}$ .

# Extension to multi-partite systems

## Theorem [FLPS,2010]

Suppose  $n_1 \geq \dots \geq n_k \geq 2$  are positive integers with  $k > 1$  and  $N = \prod_{i=1}^k n_i$ . The following are equivalent for a linear map  $\Psi : H_N \rightarrow H_N$ .

(a)  $\Psi \left( \otimes_{i=1}^k P_{n_i} \right) = \otimes_{i=1}^k P_{n_i}$ .

(b)  $\Psi \left( \text{conv} \left( \otimes_{i=1}^k P_{n_i} \right) \right) = \text{conv} \left( \otimes_{i=1}^k P_{n_i} \right)$ .

# Extension to multi-partite systems

## Theorem [FLPS,2010]

Suppose  $n_1 \geq \dots \geq n_k \geq 2$  are positive integers with  $k > 1$  and  $N = \prod_{i=1}^k n_i$ . The following are equivalent for a linear map  $\Psi : H_N \rightarrow H_N$ .

- (a)  $\Psi \left( \otimes_{i=1}^k P_{n_i} \right) = \otimes_{i=1}^k P_{n_i}$ .
- (b)  $\Psi \left( \text{conv} \left( \otimes_{i=1}^k P_{n_i} \right) \right) = \text{conv} \left( \otimes_{i=1}^k P_{n_i} \right)$ .
- (c) There is a permutation  $\pi$  on  $\{1, \dots, k\}$  and linear maps  $\psi_i$  on  $H_{n_i}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, k$  such that

$$\Psi \left( \otimes_{i=1}^k A_i \right) = \otimes_{i=1}^k \psi_i \left( A_{\pi(i)} \right) \quad \text{for} \quad \otimes_{i=1}^k A_i \in \otimes_{i=1}^k P_{n_i},$$

# Extension to multi-partite systems

## Theorem [FLPS,2010]

Suppose  $n_1 \geq \dots \geq n_k \geq 2$  are positive integers with  $k > 1$  and  $N = \prod_{i=1}^k n_i$ . The following are equivalent for a linear map  $\Psi : H_N \rightarrow H_N$ .

- (a)  $\Psi \left( \otimes_{i=1}^k P_{n_i} \right) = \otimes_{i=1}^k P_{n_i}$ .
- (b)  $\Psi \left( \text{conv} \left( \otimes_{i=1}^k P_{n_i} \right) \right) = \text{conv} \left( \otimes_{i=1}^k P_{n_i} \right)$ .
- (c) There is a permutation  $\pi$  on  $\{1, \dots, k\}$  and linear maps  $\psi_i$  on  $H_{n_i}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, k$  such that

$$\Psi \left( \otimes_{i=1}^k A_i \right) = \otimes_{i=1}^k \psi_i \left( A_{\pi(i)} \right) \quad \text{for } \otimes_{i=1}^k A_i \in \otimes_{i=1}^k P_{n_i},$$

where  $\psi_i$  has the form

$$X \mapsto U_i X U_i^* \quad \text{or} \quad X \mapsto U_i X^t U_i^*,$$

for some unitary  $U_i \in M_{n_i}$  and  $n_{\pi(i)} = n_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, k$ .

# Further extensions and notation

- Hou and his group has extended the results to infinite dimensional setting.

# Further extensions and notation

- Hou and his group has extended the results to infinite dimensional setting.
- Lim has extended the results to linear maps sending  $P_{m_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes P_{m_k}$  to  $P_{n_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes P_{n_k}$ .

# Further extensions and notation

- Hou and his group has extended the results to infinite dimensional setting.
- Lim has extended the results to linear maps sending  $P_{m_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes P_{m_k}$  to  $P_{n_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes P_{n_k}$ .

## Additional notation

- $\mathcal{U}(C) = \{UCU^* : U \text{ unitary}\}$ : the **unitary similarity orbit** of  $C \in M_n$ .



# Further extensions and notation

- Hou and his group has extended the results to infinite dimensional setting.
- Lim has extended the results to linear maps sending  $P_{m_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes P_{m_k}$  to  $P_{n_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes P_{n_k}$ .

## Additional notation

- $\mathcal{U}(C) = \{UCU^* : U \text{ unitary}\}$ : the **unitary similarity orbit** of  $C \in M_n$ .
- $\mathcal{U}(C) \otimes \mathcal{U}(D) = \{X \otimes Y : (X, Y) \in \mathcal{U}(C) \times \mathcal{U}(D)\}$ .

# Further extensions and notation

- Hou and his group has extended the results to infinite dimensional setting.
- Lim has extended the results to linear maps sending  $P_{m_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes P_{m_k}$  to  $P_{n_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes P_{n_k}$ .

## Additional notation

- $\mathcal{U}(C) = \{UCU^* : U \text{ unitary}\}$ : the **unitary similarity orbit** of  $C \in M_n$ .
- $\mathcal{U}(C) \otimes \mathcal{U}(D) = \{X \otimes Y : (X, Y) \in \mathcal{U}(C) \times \mathcal{U}(D)\}$ .
- If  $C \in H_m$  is a rank one orthogonal projection, then  $\mathcal{U}(C) = P_m$ .

# Further extensions and notation

- Hou and his group has extended the results to infinite dimensional setting.
- Lim has extended the results to linear maps sending  $P_{m_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes P_{m_k}$  to  $P_{n_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes P_{n_k}$ .

## Additional notation

- $\mathcal{U}(C) = \{UCU^* : U \text{ unitary}\}$ : the **unitary similarity orbit** of  $C \in M_n$ .
- $\mathcal{U}(C) \otimes \mathcal{U}(D) = \{X \otimes Y : (X, Y) \in \mathcal{U}(C) \times \mathcal{U}(D)\}$ .
- If  $C \in H_m$  is a rank one orthogonal projection, then  $\mathcal{U}(C) = P_m$ .
- So, our previous results concern the preservers of  $\mathcal{U}(C) \otimes \mathcal{U}(D)$  for rank one orthogonal projections  $C$  and  $D$ .

# Further extensions and notation

- Hou and his group has extended the results to infinite dimensional setting.
- Lim has extended the results to linear maps sending  $P_{m_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes P_{m_k}$  to  $P_{n_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes P_{n_k}$ .

## Additional notation

- $\mathcal{U}(C) = \{UCU^* : U \text{ unitary}\}$ : the **unitary similarity orbit** of  $C \in M_n$ .
- $\mathcal{U}(C) \otimes \mathcal{U}(D) = \{X \otimes Y : (X, Y) \in \mathcal{U}(C) \times \mathcal{U}(D)\}$ .
- If  $C \in H_m$  is a rank one orthogonal projection, then  $\mathcal{U}(C) = P_m$ .
- So, our previous results concern the preservers of  $\mathcal{U}(C) \otimes \mathcal{U}(D)$  for rank one orthogonal projections  $C$  and  $D$ .
- How about the general density matrices  $C$  and  $D$ ?

Let

$\mathcal{L}(C)$ : the set of **linear operators** mapping  $\mathcal{U}(C)$  onto itself.

Let

$\mathcal{L}(C)$ : the set of **linear operators** mapping  $\mathcal{U}(C)$  onto itself.

$\mathcal{L}(C, D)$ : the set of **linear operators** mapping  $\mathcal{U}(C)$  onto  $\mathcal{U}(D)$ .

Let

$\mathcal{L}(C)$ : the set of **linear operators** mapping  $\mathcal{U}(C)$  onto itself.

$\mathcal{L}(C, D)$ : the set of **linear operators** mapping  $\mathcal{U}(C)$  onto  $\mathcal{U}(D)$ .

**Theorem [Li and Tsing, 1995]**

Operators in  $\mathcal{L}(C)$  have the form

Let

$\mathcal{L}(C)$ : the set of **linear operators** mapping  $\mathcal{U}(C)$  onto itself.

$\mathcal{L}(C, D)$ : the set of **linear operators** mapping  $\mathcal{U}(C)$  onto  $\mathcal{U}(D)$ .

**Theorem [Li and Tsing, 1995]**

Operators in  $\mathcal{L}(C)$  have the form

(1)  $A \mapsto UAU^*$  or  $A \mapsto UA^tU^*$  for some unitary  $U \in M_m$ ,



Let

$\mathcal{L}(C)$ : the set of **linear operators** mapping  $\mathcal{U}(C)$  onto itself.

$\mathcal{L}(C, D)$ : the set of **linear operators** mapping  $\mathcal{U}(C)$  onto  $\mathcal{U}(D)$ .

### Theorem [Li and Tsing, 1995]

Operators in  $\mathcal{L}(C)$  have the form

- (1)  $A \mapsto UAU^*$  or  $A \mapsto UA^tU^*$  for some unitary  $U \in M_m$ ,
- (2)  $A \mapsto (2 \operatorname{tr} A)I_m/m - UAU^*$  or  $A \mapsto (2 \operatorname{tr} A)I_m/m - UA^tU^*$

for some unitary  $U \in M_m$  in case  $\mathcal{U}(C) = \mathcal{U}((2 \operatorname{tr} C)I_m/m - C)$ .

Let

$\mathcal{L}(C)$ : the set of **linear operators** mapping  $\mathcal{U}(C)$  onto itself.

$\mathcal{L}(C, D)$ : the set of **linear operators** mapping  $\mathcal{U}(C)$  onto  $\mathcal{U}(D)$ .

### Theorem [Li and Tsing, 1995]

Operators in  $\mathcal{L}(C)$  have the form

(1)  $A \mapsto UAU^*$  or  $A \mapsto UA^tU^*$  for some unitary  $U \in M_m$ ,

(2)  $A \mapsto (2 \operatorname{tr} A)I_m/m - UAU^*$  or  $A \mapsto (2 \operatorname{tr} A)I_m/m - UA^tU^*$

for some unitary  $U \in M_m$  in case  $\mathcal{U}(C) = \mathcal{U}((2 \operatorname{tr} C)I_m/m - C)$ .

Suppose  $(C, D) \in D_m \times D_n$ . The set  $\mathcal{L}(C, D)$  is non-empty if and only if  $m = n$  with

(i)  $\mathcal{U}(C) = \mathcal{U}(D)$  or (ii)  $\mathcal{U}(2I/m - C) = \mathcal{U}(D)$ .

Let

$\mathcal{L}(C)$ : the set of **linear operators** mapping  $\mathcal{U}(C)$  onto itself.

$\mathcal{L}(C, D)$ : the set of **linear operators** mapping  $\mathcal{U}(C)$  onto  $\mathcal{U}(D)$ .

### Theorem [Li and Tsing, 1995]

Operators in  $\mathcal{L}(C)$  have the form

(1)  $A \mapsto UAU^*$  or  $A \mapsto UA^tU^*$  for some unitary  $U \in M_m$ ,

(2)  $A \mapsto (2 \operatorname{tr} A)I_m/m - UAU^*$  or  $A \mapsto (2 \operatorname{tr} A)I_m/m - UA^tU^*$

for some unitary  $U \in M_m$  in case  $\mathcal{U}(C) = \mathcal{U}((2 \operatorname{tr} C)I_m/m - C)$ .

Suppose  $(C, D) \in D_m \times D_n$ . The set  $\mathcal{L}(C, D)$  is non-empty if and only if  $m = n$  with

(i)  $\mathcal{U}(C) = \mathcal{U}(D)$  or (ii)  $\mathcal{U}(2I/m - C) = \mathcal{U}(D)$ .

Operators in  $\mathcal{L}(C, D)$  have the form (1) or (2) depending on (i) or (ii) holds.

# Linear preservers of $\mathcal{U}(C) \otimes \mathcal{U}(D)$

## Theorem [LPS,2011]

Let  $(C, D) \in D_m \times D_n$ . Suppose  $\Psi : H_{mn} \rightarrow H_{mn}$  is linear. The following are equivalent.

# Linear preservers of $\mathcal{U}(C) \otimes \mathcal{U}(D)$

## Theorem [LPS,2011]

Let  $(C, D) \in D_m \times D_n$ . Suppose  $\Psi : H_{mn} \rightarrow H_{mn}$  is linear. The following are equivalent.

(a)  $\Psi(\mathcal{U}(C) \otimes \mathcal{U}(D)) = \mathcal{U}(C) \otimes \mathcal{U}(D)$ .

# Linear preservers of $\mathcal{U}(C) \otimes \mathcal{U}(D)$

## Theorem [LPS,2011]

Let  $(C, D) \in D_m \times D_n$ . Suppose  $\Psi : H_{mn} \rightarrow H_{mn}$  is linear. The following are equivalent.

- (a)  $\Psi(\mathcal{U}(C) \otimes \mathcal{U}(D)) = \mathcal{U}(C) \otimes \mathcal{U}(D)$ .
- (b)  $\Psi(\text{conv} \{\mathcal{U}(C) \otimes \mathcal{U}(D)\}) = \text{conv} \{\mathcal{U}(C) \otimes \mathcal{U}(D)\}$ .

# Linear preservers of $\mathcal{U}(C) \otimes \mathcal{U}(D)$

## Theorem [LPS,2011]

Let  $(C, D) \in D_m \times D_n$ . Suppose  $\Psi : H_{mn} \rightarrow H_{mn}$  is linear. The following are equivalent.

- (a)  $\Psi(\mathcal{U}(C) \otimes \mathcal{U}(D)) = \mathcal{U}(C) \otimes \mathcal{U}(D)$ .
- (b)  $\Psi(\text{conv} \{\mathcal{U}(C) \otimes \mathcal{U}(D)\}) = \text{conv} \{\mathcal{U}(C) \otimes \mathcal{U}(D)\}$ .
- (c) One of the following holds.

# Linear preservers of $\mathcal{U}(C) \otimes \mathcal{U}(D)$

## Theorem [LPS,2011]

Let  $(C, D) \in D_m \times D_n$ . Suppose  $\Psi : H_{mn} \rightarrow H_{mn}$  is linear. The following are equivalent.

- (a)  $\Psi(\mathcal{U}(C) \otimes \mathcal{U}(D)) = \mathcal{U}(C) \otimes \mathcal{U}(D)$ .
- (b)  $\Psi(\text{conv} \{\mathcal{U}(C) \otimes \mathcal{U}(D)\}) = \text{conv} \{\mathcal{U}(C) \otimes \mathcal{U}(D)\}$ .
- (c) One of the following holds.

(c.1) There is  $(\psi_1, \psi_2) \in \mathcal{L}(C) \times \mathcal{L}(D)$  such that

$$\Psi(A \otimes B) = \psi_1(A) \otimes \psi_2(B) \text{ for all } A \otimes B \in H_m \otimes H_n.$$



# Linear preservers of $\mathcal{U}(C) \otimes \mathcal{U}(D)$

## Theorem [LPS,2011]

Let  $(C, D) \in D_m \times D_n$ . Suppose  $\Psi : H_{mn} \rightarrow H_{mn}$  is linear. The following are equivalent.

- (a)  $\Psi(\mathcal{U}(C) \otimes \mathcal{U}(D)) = \mathcal{U}(C) \otimes \mathcal{U}(D)$ .
- (b)  $\Psi(\text{conv} \{\mathcal{U}(C) \otimes \mathcal{U}(D)\}) = \text{conv} \{\mathcal{U}(C) \otimes \mathcal{U}(D)\}$ .
- (c) One of the following holds.

(c.1) There is  $(\psi_1, \psi_2) \in \mathcal{L}(C) \times \mathcal{L}(D)$  such that

$$\Psi(A \otimes B) = \psi_1(A) \otimes \psi_2(B) \text{ for all } A \otimes B \in H_m \otimes H_n.$$

(c.2)  $(m, \mathcal{U}(C)) = (n, \mathcal{U}(D))$ , there is  $(\psi_1, \psi_2) \in \mathcal{L}(C) \times \mathcal{L}(D)$  such that

$$\Psi(A \otimes B) = \psi_2(B) \otimes \psi_1(A) \text{ for all } A \otimes B \in H_m \otimes H_n.$$

# Linear preservers of $\mathcal{U}(C) \otimes \mathcal{U}(D)$

## Theorem [LPS,2011]

Let  $(C, D) \in D_m \times D_n$ . Suppose  $\Psi : H_{mn} \rightarrow H_{mn}$  is linear. The following are equivalent.

- (a)  $\Psi(\mathcal{U}(C) \otimes \mathcal{U}(D)) = \mathcal{U}(C) \otimes \mathcal{U}(D)$ .
- (b)  $\Psi(\text{conv} \{\mathcal{U}(C) \otimes \mathcal{U}(D)\}) = \text{conv} \{\mathcal{U}(C) \otimes \mathcal{U}(D)\}$ .
- (c) One of the following holds.

(c.1) There is  $(\psi_1, \psi_2) \in \mathcal{L}(C) \times \mathcal{L}(D)$  such that

$$\Psi(A \otimes B) = \psi_1(A) \otimes \psi_2(B) \text{ for all } A \otimes B \in H_m \otimes H_n.$$

(c.2)  $(m, \mathcal{U}(C)) = (n, \mathcal{U}(D))$ , there is  $(\psi_1, \psi_2) \in \mathcal{L}(C) \times \mathcal{L}(D)$  such that

$$\Psi(A \otimes B) = \psi_2(B) \otimes \psi_1(A) \text{ for all } A \otimes B \in H_m \otimes H_n.$$

(c.3)  $(m, \mathcal{U}(2I_m/m - C)) = (n, \mathcal{U}(D))$ , there are  $\psi_1, \psi_2 \in \mathcal{L}(C, D)$  such that

$$\Psi(A \otimes B) = \psi_2(B) \otimes \psi_1(A) \text{ for all } A \otimes B \in H_m \otimes H_n.$$

# Further remarks

- The result is extended to linear preservers of  $\mathcal{U}(C_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{U}(C_k)$  and its convex hull.

# Further remarks

- The result is extended to linear preservers of  $\mathcal{U}(C_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{U}(C_k)$  and its convex hull.
- It would be interesting to study general (non-linear) preservers.

# Further remarks

- The result is extended to linear preservers of  $\mathcal{U}(C_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{U}(C_k)$  and its convex hull.
- It would be interesting to study general (non-linear) preservers.
- There are other preserver problems in quantum information science.

# Further remarks

- The result is extended to linear preservers of  $\mathcal{U}(C_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{U}(C_k)$  and its convex hull.
- It would be interesting to study general (non-linear) preservers.
- There are other preserver problems in quantum information science.
- Saitoh considered special linear maps on  $H_{mn}$  preserving the eigenvalues of  $A \otimes B$ .

# Further remarks

- The result is extended to linear preservers of  $\mathcal{U}(C_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{U}(C_k)$  and its convex hull.
- It would be interesting to study general (non-linear) preservers.
- There are other preserver problems in quantum information science.
- Saitoh considered special linear maps on  $H_{mn}$  preserving the eigenvalues of  $A \otimes B$ .
- Fosner, Li, Sze are studying linear maps on  $H_{mn}$  and  $M_{mn}$  preserving the various functions on  $A \otimes B$  such as the spectral radius, numerical radius, norms, etc.

# Further remarks

- The result is extended to linear preservers of  $\mathcal{U}(C_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{U}(C_k)$  and its convex hull.
- It would be interesting to study general (non-linear) preservers.
- There are other preserver problems in quantum information science.
- Saitoh considered special linear maps on  $H_{mn}$  preserving the eigenvalues of  $A \otimes B$ .
- Fosner, Li, Sze are studying linear maps on  $H_{mn}$  and  $M_{mn}$  preserving the various functions on  $A \otimes B$  such as the spectral radius, numerical radius, norms, etc.
- We also consider preservers of subsets such as  $GL(m) \otimes GL(n)$  and  $U(m) \otimes U(n)$ , etc.



# Further remarks

- The result is extended to linear preservers of  $\mathcal{U}(C_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{U}(C_k)$  and its convex hull.
- It would be interesting to study general (non-linear) preservers.
- There are other preserver problems in quantum information science.
- Saitoh considered special linear maps on  $H_{mn}$  preserving the eigenvalues of  $A \otimes B$ .
- Fosner, Li, Sze are studying linear maps on  $H_{mn}$  and  $M_{mn}$  preserving the various functions on  $A \otimes B$  such as the spectral radius, numerical radius, norms, etc.
- We also consider preservers of subsets such as  $GL(m) \otimes GL(n)$  and  $U(m) \otimes U(n)$ , etc.
- There are many interesting preserver problems related to tensor structure, whose answers may be related to other topics.

Hope to tell you more next time.

Hope to tell you more next time.

Please submit your paper to the special **Linear and Multilinear Algebra** issue for this meeting.

Hope to tell you more next time.

Please submit your paper to the special **Linear and Multilinear Algebra** issue for this meeting.

**Thank you for your attention!**