## Additive Set of Idempotents in Rings

Juncheol Han

Pusan National University, Korea

[Jointworked with Sangwon Park]

## ICRA 2011, Taiwan

### 1. INTRODUCTION AND BASIC DEFINITIONS

#### Some Notations

- R := a ring with identity 1
- I(R) := the set of all nonunits idempotents in R
- M(R) := the set of all primitive idempotents and 0 in R

#### **Known Results**

 $\diamond$  D. Dolžan, Multiplicative sets of idempotents in a finite ring, J. Algebra, 2006.

Definition :

1. Let  $\leq_1$  denote the usual relation on I(R) defined by  $e \leq_1 f \Leftrightarrow ef = fe = e$ .

2. An idempotent e is said to be preserves G(R) (the group of all units in R), if the set  $eGe \subseteq G(eRe)$ .

**Theorem 1.** If every minimal idempotent preserves G, then R is a direct sum of local rings and the number of summands equals the maximal number of mutually orthogonal minimal idempotents in R.

**Theorem 2.** If M is closed under multiplicative, then every minimal idempotent preserves G.

**Corollary 3.** Let M be the set of all nonzero minimal idempotents according to  $\leq_1$ . Then M is closed under multiplication if and only if R is a direct sum of local rings.

4

♦ H. K. Grover, D. Khurana and S. Singh, Rings with multiplicative sets of primitive idempotents, Comm. Algebra, 2009.

Definition:

1. A ring R is called *connected* if it has no idempotents other than 0 and 1.

2. Two idempotents  $e, f \in R$  are said to be *orthogonal* if ef = fe = 0.

**Theorem 1.** A ring R is a finite direct product of connected rings if and only if M(R) is multiplicative and R has a complete finite set of primitive orthogonal idempotents.

**Theorem 2.** If M(R) is multiplicative, then for any  $0 \neq e \in M(R)$  and  $u \in G(R)$ ,  $eue \in G(eRe)$  with  $(eue)^{-1} = eu^{-1}e$ .

Definition:

1. I(R) is said to be *additive* if for all  $e, f \in I(R)$  $(e \neq f), e + f \in I(R)$  (equivalently, ef = -fe).

Example: Boolean ring

2. M(R) is said to be additive in I(R) if for all  $e, f \in M(R)$   $(e \neq f), e + f \in I(R)$ .

Examples: (1) Boolean ring

(2) A direct product of local rings

## Note

- 1. I(R) is additive  $\Rightarrow M(R)$  is additive in I(R).
- 2. I(R) is additive  $\notin M(R)$  is additive in I(R)

Example: A finite direct product of infinite fields.

#### 2. Some properties of a ring with additive idempotents

**Lemma 2.1.** Let R be a ring. If I(R) is additive, then for all  $e, f \in I(R)$ , ef = fe, i.e., I(R) is commuting.

#### Note

- (1) I(R) is additive,  $\Rightarrow I(R) \subseteq Z(R)$ .
- (2) I(R) is additive,  $\notin I(R) \subseteq Z(R)$ .

Example:  $\mathbb{Z}_3 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_3 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_3$ .

**Theorem 2.3.** Let R be a ring. Then I(R) is additive if and only if I(R) is commuting and char(R) = 2.

**Remark 1.** Note that Theorem 2.3 exhibits that if R is a ring such that I(R) is additive, then  $1 + e \in I(R)$  for all  $0 \neq e \in I(R)$ .

**Lemma 2.4.** Let R be a ring. If M(R) is additive in I(R), then for all  $e, f \in M(R)$   $(e \neq f)$ , ef = fe, and also  $M(R) \subseteq Z(R)$ .

**Theorem 2.5.** Let R be a ring. If M(R) is additive in I(R), then for all  $e, f \in M(R)$   $(e \neq f), ef = fe = 0$ .

**Corollary 2.6.** Let R be a ring. Then M(R) is additive in I(R) if and only if M(R) is the set of primitive pairwise orthogonal idempotents.

**Remark 2.** Let R be a ring such that M(R) is additive in I(R). Observe that (1) if eR = fR for some  $e, f \in M(R)$  $(e, f \neq 0)$ , then e = f; (2) if  $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n \in M(R)$  are distinct, then  $e_1R + e_2R + \dots + e_nR = e_1R \oplus e_2R \oplus \dots \oplus e_nR$ with  $e_iR \cap e_jR = \{0\}$  for all  $i, j = 1, \dots, n$   $(i \neq j)$ , and  $(e_1 + e_2 + \dots + e_n)R = e_1R \oplus e_2R \oplus \dots \oplus e_nR$ . **Lemma 2.7.** Let R be a ring such that M(R) is commuting, and let  $N \subseteq J(R)$  be an ideal of R. If  $\bar{e} = \bar{f} \in R/N$ for some  $e, f \in M(R)$ , then e = f.

**Corollary 2.8.** Let  $N \subseteq J(R)$  be an ideal of a ring R such that idempotents in R/N can be lifted to R. If M(R) is commuting, then |M(R)| = |M(R/N)|.

**Theorem 2.9.** Let  $N \subseteq J(R)$  be an ideal of R such that idempotents in R/N can be lifted to R. If M(R) is commuting, then M(R/N) is additive in I(R/N) if and only if M(R) is additive in I(R). **Theorem 2.10.** Let R be a ring and  $e, f \in R$  be idempotents such that ef is a nonzero idempotent. Then we have the following:

- (1) If  $e \in M(R)$ , then eR = efeR = efR and  $efe, ef \in M(R)$ ;
- (2) If  $f \in M(R)$ , then Rf = Rfef = Ref and  $fef, ef \in M(R)$ .

**Remark 3.** In [3, Theorem 3.4], it was shown that if e, f are two nonzero primitive idempotents of a ring R such that  $ef \neq 0$  is an idempotent, then e and f are conjugates. By using Theorem 2.10, we have alternative proof of [3, Theorem 3.4] as follows:

Since  $e \in M(R)$  (resp.  $f \in M(R)$ ) and  $ef \neq 0, eR = efR$  (resp. Rf = Ref) by Theorem 2.10, and so ef and e are conjugates (resp. ef and f are conjugates). Hence e and f are conjugates.

**Corollary 2.11.** Let R be a ring and  $e, f \in R$  be idempotents such that fe is a nonzero idempotent. (1) If  $e \in M(R)$ , then eR = fefR = feR and  $fef, fe \in M(R)$ ; (2) If  $f \in M(R)$ , then Rf = Refe = Rfe and  $fef, fe \in M(R)$ .

**Corollary 2.12.** Let R be a ring and  $e, f \in R$  be idempotents. If e or f is central and  $e \in M(R)$ , then eR = efR and  $ef \in M(R)$ .

**Corollary 2.13.** Let R be a ring. If I(R) is multiplicative, then M(R) is multiplicative.

#### 3. Some rings having multiplicative or additive set of idempotents

The following theorem was shown by Grover, Khurana, and Singh (see [3, Theorem 2.3]).

**Theorem 3.1.** A ring R is a finite direct product of connected rings if and only if M(R) is multiplicative and R has a complete set of primitive idempotents.

By using the results obtained in Section 2, we have the following:

**Theorem 3.2.** Let R be a ring with a complete set of primitive idempotents. Then the following are equivalent:

- (1) I(R) is multiplicative;
- (2) R is a finite direct product of connected rings;
- (3) M(R) is commuting;
- (4) M(R) is multiplicative;
- (5) M(R) is additive in I(R).

**Corollary 3.3.** Let R be a ring with a complete set of primitive idempotents. Then the following are equivalent:

- (1) I(R) is additive;
- (2) R is a finite direct product of connected rings of characteristic 2;
- (3) M(R) is commuting and char(R) = 2;
- (4) M(R) is multiplicative and char(R) = 2;
- (5) M(R) is additive in I(R) and char(R) = 2.

**Remark 4.** Let R be a semiperfect ring. Then we can note that I(R) is additive and  $G = \{1\}$  if and only if  $R \simeq \prod \mathbb{Z}_2$ .

In [2, Proposition 9.9], it was shown that if R is a regular, right self-injective ring, then B(R), the set of all central idempotents in R, is a complete Boolean algebra in which  $e \wedge f = ef$  and  $e \vee f = e + f - ef$  for all  $e, f \in B(R)$ . Note that if R is a von Neumann regular, right self-injective ring, then  $1 = \vee B_o(R)$ , the supremum of  $B_o(R)$ , where  $B_o(R)$  is the set of orthogonal idempotents of B(R) if and only if  $R \simeq \prod_{e_i \in B_o(R)} e_i R$ .

We may raise a natural question: Is it possible to extend Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.2 to the case of a direct product of countably many connected rings? In other words, assume that a ring R has a countably infinite set of pairwise orthogonal primitive idempotents, say  $B = \{e_1, e_2, ...\}$ such that  $1 = \forall B$ . Then is the condition that R is a direct product of countably many connected rings equivalent to the condition that M(R) is multiplicative? But this does not hold true as the following example shows. **Example 1.** Let K be a field and F be a proper subfield of K. Consider

$$R = \left\{ (a_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \in \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} K \mid a_n \in F \text{ is eventurally} \right\},\$$

which is a subring of  $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} K$ . Then R is a von Neumann regular ring, and  $Q(R) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} K$  is the maximal ring of quotients of R. Consider

$$B = \{e_1, e_2, \dots\} \subseteq Q(R),$$

where  $e_1 = (1, 0, 0, ...), e_2 = (0, 1, 0, ...), ...,$  and so on. Then *B* is a set of orthogonal primitive central idempotents in *R*. Further,  $1 = \forall B$  in I(R) because  $Q(R) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} e_i Q(R)$  and I(R) = I(Q(R)). Also obviously, M(R)is commuting.

On the other hand, assume that  $R = \prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} R_{\lambda}$ , a countably infinite direct product of connected rings. Note that each  $R_{\lambda}$  is a commutative von Neumann regular connected ring. Thus each  $R_{\lambda}$  is a field, and so R is self-injective, which implies that R = Q(R), a contradiction. Recall that a central idempotent c of a ring R is said to be *centrally primitive* in R if  $c \neq 0$  and c cannot be written as a sum of two nonzero orthogonal central idempotents in R (equivalently, cR is indecomposable as a ring).

Also, R is said to have a complete set of centrally primitive idempotents if there exists a finite set of centrally primitive pairwise orthogonal idempotents whose sum is 1 [4, Sects. 21 and 22].

Note that if a ring R has a complete set of primitive idempotents, then R has a complete set of centrally primitive idempotents.

We call a nonzero idempotent e in a ring R fully basic if e can be expressed as a sum of orthogonal primitive idempotents in R, and we call a ring R a fully basic ring if all idempotents in R are fully basic.

Examples:

(1) a finite direct product of local rings

(2) a ring of all upper triangular  $2 \times 2$  matrices over  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ 

Note that in a fully basic ring, I(R) may not be multiplicative.

**Theorem 3.4.** Suppose that a ring R has a complete set of primitive idempotents. If I(R) is multiplicative, then Ris a fully basic ring. **Corollary 3.5.** A commutative semiperfect ring is a fully basic ring.

**Remark 5.** Let  $S = \{e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_r\}$  be a complete set of primitive idempotents. Then by Theorems 3.1,

(1) I(R) is multiplicative if and only if  $R \simeq R_1 \oplus R_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus R_r$  where all  $R_i$ 's are connected rings, and then by Theorem 3.4, R is a fully basic ring.

(2) If I(R) is multiplicative, then the number of all idempotents in R is equal to  $2^r$ .

**Remark 6.** Let  $S_k = \{e_{i_1} + e_{i_2} + \dots + e_{i_k} : e_{i_1}, e_{i_2}, \dots, e_{i_k} \in S, i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k\}$  for each  $k = 1, 2, \dots, r$ . Then we have that (1)  $I(R) \cup \{1\} = \{0\} \cup S_1 \cup \dots \cup S_r$ .

(2) 
$$|S_k| = {}_rC_k = \frac{r(r-1)\cdots(r-k+1)}{k(k-1)\cdots 1}$$
 for all  $k = 1, \cdots, r$ .

(3) 
$$2^r = 1 + |S_1| + \dots + |S_r| = 1 + {}_rC_1 + \dots + {}_rC_r.$$

#### References

- D. Dolžan, Multiplicative sets of idempotents in a finite ring, J. Algebra 304 (2006), 271–277.
- [2] K. R. Goodearl, Von Neumann Regular Rings, Pitman, London,, 1979.
- [3] H. K. Grover, D. Khurana and S. Singh, Rings with multiplicative sets of primitive idempotents, Comm. Algebra 37 (2009), 2583– 2590.
- [4] T. Y. Lam, A First Course in Noncommutative Rings, Springer-Verlag, New York,, 1991.

# Thank You Very Much !!